

Federal Court



Cour fédérale

**Date: 20211126**

**Docket: T-402-19**

**T-141-20**

**Citation: 2021 FC 1225**

**Ottawa, Ontario, November 26, 2021**

**PRESENT: The Honourable Madam Justice Ayles**

**CLASS PROCEEDING**

**BETWEEN:**

**XAVIER MOUSHOOM, JEREMY MEAWASIGE (by his litigation guardian,  
JONAVON JOSEPH MEAWASIGE) AND JONAVON JOSEPH MEAWASIGE**

**Plaintiffs**

**and**

**THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF CANADA**

**Defendant**

**BETWEEN:**

**ASSEMBLY OF FIRST NATIONS, ASHLEY DAWN LOUISE BACH, KAREN  
OSACHOFF, MELISSA WALTERSON, NOAH BUFFALO-JACKSON (by his  
litigation guardian, CAROLYN BUFFALO), CAROLYN BUFFALO AND DICK  
EUGENE JACKSON also known as RICHARD JACKSON**

**Plaintiffs**

**and**

**HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN**

**AS REPRESENTED BY THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF CANADA**

**Defendant**

**ORDER AND REASONS**

**UPON MOTION** by the Plaintiffs, on consent and determined in writing pursuant to Rule 369 of the *Federal Courts Rules*, for an order:

- (a) Granting the Plaintiffs an extension of time to make this certification motion past the deadline in Rule 334.15(2)(b);
- (b) Certifying this proceeding as a class proceeding and defining the class;
- (c) Stating the nature of the claims made on behalf of the class and the relief sought by the class;
- (d) Stipulating the common issues for trial;
- (e) Appointing the Plaintiffs specified below as representative plaintiffs;
- (f) Approving the litigation plan; and
- (g) Other relief;

**CONSIDERING** the motion materials filed by the Plaintiffs;

**CONSIDERING** that the Defendant has advised that the Defendant consents in whole to the motion as filed;

**CONSIDERING** that the Court is satisfied, in the circumstances of this proceeding, that an extension of time should be granted to bring this certification motion past the deadline prescribed in Rule 334.15(2)(b);

**CONSIDERING** that while the Defendant's consent reduces the necessity for a rigorous approach to the issue of whether this proceeding should be certified as a class action, it does not relieve the Court of the duty to ensure that the requirements of Rule 334.16 for certification are met [see *Varley v Canada (Attorney General)*, 2021 FC 589];

**CONSIDERING** that Rule 334.16(1) of the *Federal Courts Rules* provides:

Subject to subsection (3), a judge shall, by order, certify a proceeding as a class proceeding if

(a) the pleadings disclose a reasonable cause of action;

(b) there is an identifiable class of two or more persons;

(c) the claims of the class members raise common questions of law or fact, whether or not those common questions predominate over questions affecting only individual members;

(d) a class proceeding is the preferable procedure for the just and efficient resolution of the common questions of law or fact; and

(e) there is a representative plaintiff or applicant who

(i) would fairly and adequately represent the interests of the class,

Sous réserve du paragraphe (3), le juge autorise une instance comme recours collectif si les conditions suivantes sont réunies :

a) les actes de procédure révèlent une cause d'action valable;

b) il existe un groupe identifiable formé d'au moins deux personnes;

c) les réclamations des membres du groupe soulèvent des points de droit ou de fait communs, que ceux-ci prédominent ou non sur ceux qui ne concernent qu'un membre;

d) le recours collectif est le meilleur moyen de régler, de façon juste et efficace, les points de droit ou de fait communs;

e) il existe un représentant demandeur qui :

(i) représenterait de façon équitable et adéquate les intérêts du groupe,

(ii) has prepared a plan for the proceeding that sets out a workable method of advancing the proceeding on behalf of the class and of notifying class members as to how the proceeding is progressing,

(iii) does not have, on the common questions of law or fact, an interest that is in conflict with the interests of other class members, and

(iv) provides a summary of any agreements respecting fees and disbursements between the representative plaintiff or applicant and the solicitor of record.

(ii) a élaboré un plan qui propose une méthode efficace pour poursuivre l'instance au nom du groupe et tenir les membres du groupe informés de son déroulement,

(iii) n'a pas de conflit d'intérêts avec d'autres membres du groupe en ce qui concerne les points de droit ou de fait communs,

(iv) communique un sommaire des conventions relatives aux honoraires et débours qui sont intervenues entre lui et l'avocat inscrit au dossier.

**CONSIDERING** that, pursuant to Rule 334.16(2), all relevant matters shall be considered in a determination of whether a class proceeding is the preferable procedure for the just and efficient resolution of the common questions of law or fact, including whether: (a) the questions of law or fact common to the class members predominate over any questions affecting only individual members; (b) a significant number of the members of the class have a valid interest in individually controlling the prosecution of separate proceedings; (c) the class proceeding would involve claims that are or have been the subject of any other proceeding; (d) other means of resolving the claims are less practical or less efficient; and (e) the administration of the class proceeding would create greater difficulties than those likely to be experienced if relief were sought by other means;

**CONSIDERING** that:

(a) The conduct of the Crown at issue in this proposed class action proceeding, as set out in the Consolidated Statement of Claim, concerns two alleged forms of

discrimination against First Nations children: (i) the Crown's funding of child and family services for First Nations children and the incentive it has created to remove children from their homes; and (ii) the Crown's failure to comply with Jordan's Principles, a legal requirement that aims to prevent First Nations children from suffering gaps, delays, disruptions or denials in receiving necessary services and products contrary to their *Charter*-protected equality rights.

(b) As summarized by the Plaintiffs in their written representations, at its core, the Consolidated Statement of Claim alleges that:

(i) The Crown has knowingly underfunded child and family services for First Nations children living on Reserve and in the Yukon, and thereby prevented child welfare service agencies from providing adequate Prevention Services to First Nations children and families.

(ii) The Crown has underfunded Prevention Services to First Nations children and families living on Reserve and in the Yukon, while fully funding the costs of care for First Nations children who are removed from their homes and placed into out-of-home care, thereby creating a perverse incentive for First Nations child welfare service agencies to remove First Nations children living on Reserve and in the Yukon from their homes and place them in out-of-home care.

(iii) The removal of children from their homes caused severe and enduring trauma to those children and their families.

- (iv) Not only does Jordan's Principle embody the Class Members' equality rights, the Crown has also admitted that Jordan's Principle is a "legal requirement" and thus an actionable wrong. However, the Crown has disregarded its obligations under Jordan's Principle and thereby denied crucial services and products to tens of thousands of First Nations children, causing compensable harm.
  - (v) The Crown's conduct is discriminatory, directed at Class Members because they were First Nations, and breached section 15(1) of the *Charter*, the Crown's fiduciary duties to First Nations and the standard of care at common and civil law.
- (c) With respect to the first element of the certification analysis (namely, whether the pleading discloses a reasonable cause of action), the threshold is a low one. The question for the Court is whether it is plain and obvious that the causes of action are doomed to fail [see *Brake v Canada (Attorney General)*, 2019 FCA 274 at para 54]. Even without the Crown's consent, I am satisfied that the Plaintiffs have pleaded the necessary elements for each cause of action sufficient for purposes of this motion, such that the Consolidated Statement of Claim discloses a reasonable cause of action.
- (d) With respect to the second element of the certification analysis (namely, whether there is an identifiable class of two or more persons), the test to be applied is whether the Plaintiffs have defined the class by reference to objective criteria such that a person can be identified to be a class member without reference to the merits

of the action [see *Hollick v Toronto (City of)*, 2001 SCC 68 at para 17]. I am satisfied that the proposed class definitions for the Removed Child Class, Jordan's Class and Family Class (as set out below) contain objective criteria and that inclusion in each class can be determined without reference to the merits of the action.

- (e) With respect to the third element of the certification analysis (namely, whether the claims of the class members raise common questions of law or fact), as noted by the Federal Court of Appeal in *Wenham v Canada (Attorney General)*, 2018 FCA 199 at para 72, the task under this part of the certification determination is not to determine the common issues, but rather to assess whether the resolution of the issues is necessary to the resolution of each class member's claim. Specifically, the test is as follows:

The commonality question should be approached purposively. The underlying question is whether allowing the suit to proceed as a representative one will avoid duplication of fact-finding or legal analysis. Thus an issue will be "common" only where its resolution is necessary to the resolution of each class member's claim. It is not essential that the class members be identically situated vis-à-vis the opposing party. Nor is it necessary that common issues predominate over non-common issues or that the resolution of the common issues would be determinative of each class member's claim. However, the class members' claims must share a substantial common ingredient to justify a class action. Determining whether the common issues justify a class action may require the court to examine the significant of the common issues in relation to individual issues. In doing so, the court should remember that it may not always be possible for a representative party to plead the claims of each class member with the same particularity as would be required in an individual suit. (*Western Canadian Shopping Centres*, above at para 39; see also *Vivendi Canada Inc. v. Dell'Aniello*, 2014 SCC 1, [2014] 1 S.C.R. 3 at paras 41 and 44-46.)

Having reviewed the common issues (as set out below), I am satisfied that the issues share a material and substantial common ingredient to the resolution of each class

member's claim. Moreover, I agree with the Plaintiff that the commonality of these issues is analogous to the commonality of similar issues in institutional abuse claims which have been certified as class actions (such as the Indian Residential Schools and the Sixties Scoop class action litigation). Accordingly, I find that the common issue element is satisfied.

- (f) With respect to the fourth element of the certification analysis (namely, whether a class proceeding is the preferable procedure for the just and efficient resolution of the common questions of fact and law), the preferability requirement has two concepts at its core: (i) whether the class proceeding would be a fair, efficient and manageable method of advancing the claim; and (ii) whether the class proceeding would be preferable to other reasonably available means of resolving the claims of class members. A determination of the preferability requirement requires an examination of the common issues in their context, taking into account the importance of the common issues in relation to the claim as a whole, and may be satisfied even where there are substantial individual issues [see *Brake, supra* at para 85; *Wenham, supra* at para 77 and *Hollick, supra* at paras 27-31]. The Court's consideration of this requirement must be conducted through the lens of the three principle goals of class actions, namely judicial economy, behaviour modification and access to justice [see *Brake, supra* at para 86, citing *AIC Limited v Fischer*, 2013 SCC 69 at para 22].
- (g) Having considered the above-referenced principles and the factors set out in Rule 334.16(2), I am satisfied a class proceeding is the preferable procedure for the just



and efficient resolution of the common questions of fact and law. Given the systemic nature of the claims, the potential for significant barriers to access to justice for individual claimants and the Plaintiffs' stated concerns regarding the other means available for resolving the claims of class members, I am satisfied that the proposed class action would be a fair, efficient and manageable method of advancing the claims of the class members.

- (h) With respect to the fifth element of the certification analysis (namely, whether there are appropriate proposed representatives), I am satisfied, having reviewed the affidavit evidence filed on the motion together with the detailed litigation plan, that the proposed representative plaintiffs (as set out below) meet the requirements of Rule 334.16(1)(e);

**CONSIDERING** that the Court is satisfied that all of the requirements for certification are met and that the requested relief should be granted;

**THIS COURT ORDERS that:**

1. The Plaintiffs are granted an extension of time, *nunc pro tunc*, to bring this certification motion past the deadline in Rule 334.15(2)(b) of the *Federal Courts Rules*.
2. For the purpose of this Order and in addition to definitions elsewhere in this Order, the following definitions apply and other terms in this Order have the same meaning as in the Consolidated Statement of Claim as filed on July 21, 2021:
  - (a) **“Class”** means the Removed Child Class, Jordan’s Class and Family Class, collectively.

- (b) **“Class Counsel”** means Fasken Martineau Dumoulin LLP, Kugler Kandestin LLP, Miller Titerle + Co., Nahwegahbow Corbiere and Sotos LLP.
- (c) **“Class Members”** mean all persons who are members of the Class.
- (d) **“Class Period”** means:
  - (i) For the Removed Child Class members and their corresponding Family Class members, the period of time beginning on April 1, 1991 and ending on the date of this Order; and
  - (ii) For the Jordan’s Class members and their corresponding Family Class members, the period of time beginning on December 12, 2007 and ending on the date of this Order.
- (e) **“Family Class”** means all persons who are brother, sister, mother, father, grandmother or grandfather of a member of the Removed Child Class and/or Jordan’s Class.
- (f) **“First Nation”** and **“First Nations”** means Indigenous peoples in Canada, including the Yukon and the Northwest Territories, who are neither Inuit nor Métis, and includes:
  - (i) Individuals who have Indian status pursuant to the *Indian Act*, R.S.C., 1985, c.I-5 [*Indian Act*];

- (ii) Individuals who are entitled to be registered under section 6 of the *Indian Act* at the time of certification;
  - (iii) Individuals who met band membership requirements under sections 10-12 of the *Indian Act* and, in the case of the Removed Child Class members, have done so by the time of certification, such as where their respective First Nation community assumed control of its own membership by establishing membership rules and the individuals were found to meet the requirements under those membership rules and were included on the Band List; and
  - (iv) In the case of Jordan's Class members, individuals, other than those listed in sub-paragraphs (i)-(iii) above, recognized as citizens or members of their respective First Nations whether under agreement, treaties or First Nations' customs, traditions and laws.
- (g) **“Jordan's Class”** means all First Nations individuals who were under the applicable provincial/territorial age of majority and who during the Class Period were denied a service or product, or whose receipt of a service or product was delayed or disrupted, on grounds, including but not limited to, lack of funding or lack of jurisdiction, or as a result of a jurisdictional dispute with another government or governmental department.
- (h) **“Removed Child Class”** means all First Nations individuals who:
- (i) Were under the applicable provincial/territorial age of majority at any time during the Class Period; and

- (ii) Were taken into out-of-home care during the Class Period while they, or at least one of their parents, were ordinarily resident on a Reserve.
  - (i) **“Reserve”** means a tract of land, as defined under the *Indian Act*, the legal title to which is vested in the Crown and has been set apart for the use and benefit of an Indian band.
- 3. This proceeding is hereby certified as a class proceeding against the Defendant pursuant to Rule 334.16(1) of the *Federal Courts Rules*.
- 4. The Class shall consist of the Removed Child Class, Jordan’s Class and Family Class, all as defined herein.
- 5. The nature of the claims asserted on behalf of the Class against the Defendant is constitutional, negligence and breach of fiduciary duty owed by the Crown to the Class.
- 6. The relief claimed by the Class includes damages, *Charter* damages, disgorgement, punitive damages and exemplary damages.
- 7. The following persons are appointed as representative plaintiffs:
  - (a) For the Removed Child Class: Xavier Moushoom, Ashley Dawn Louise Bach and Karen Osachoff;
  - (b) For the Jordan’s Class: Jeremy Meawasige (by his litigation guardian, Jonavon Joseph Measwasige) and Noah Buffalo-Jackson (by his litigation guardian, Carolyn Buffalo); and

- (c) For the Family Class: Xavier Moushoom, Jonavon Joseph Meawasige, Melissa Walterson, Carolyn Buffalo and Dick Eugene Jackson (also known as Richard Jackson),

all of whom are deemed to constitute adequate representative plaintiffs of the Class.

8. Class Counsel are hereby appointed as counsel for the Class.

9. The proceeding is certified on the basis of the following common issues:

- (a) Did the Crown's conduct as alleged in the Consolidated Statement of Claim [Impugned Conduct] infringe the equality right of the Plaintiffs and Class Members under section 15(1) of the *Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms*? More specifically:

- (i) Did the Impugned Conduct create a distinction based on the Class Members' race, or national or ethnic origin?
- (ii) Was the distinction discriminatory?
- (iii) Did the Impugned Conduct reinforce and exacerbate the Class Members' historical disadvantages?
- (iv) If so, was the violation of section 15(1) of the *Charter* justified under section 1 of the *Charter*?
- (v) Are *Charter* damages an appropriate remedy?

- (b) Did the Crown owe the Plaintiffs and Class Members a common law duty of care?
  - (i) If so, did the Crown breach that duty of care?
  
- (c) Did the Crown breach its obligations under the *Civil Code of Québec*? More specifically:
  - (i) Did the Crown commit fault or engage its civil liability?
  
  - (ii) Did the Impugned Conduct result in losses to the Plaintiffs and Class Members and if so, do such losses constitute injury to each of the Class Members?
  
  - (iii) Are Class Members entitled to claim damages for the moral and material damages arising from the foregoing?
  
- (d) Did the Crown owe the Plaintiffs and Class Members a fiduciary duty?
  - (i) If so, did the Crown breach that duty?
  
- (e) Can the amount of damages payable by the Crown be determined partially under Rule 334.28(1) of the *Federal Courts Rules* on an aggregate basis?
  - (i) If so, in what amount?
  
- (f) Did the Crown obtain quantifiable monetary benefits from the Impugned Conduct during the Class Period?
  - (i) If so, should the Crown be required to disgorge those benefits?

(ii) If so, in what amount?

(g) Should punitive and/or aggravated damages be awarded against the Crown?

(i) If so, in what amount?

10. The Plaintiffs' Fresh as Amended Litigation Plan, as filed November 2, 2021 and attached hereto as Schedule "A", is hereby approved, subject to any modifications necessary as a result of this Order and subject to any further orders of this Court.
11. The form of notice of certification, the manner of giving notice and all other related matters shall be determined by separate order(s) of the Court.
12. The opt-out period shall be six months from the date on which notice of certification is published in the manner to be specified by further order of this Court.
13. The timetable for this proceeding through to trial shall also be determined by separate order(s) of the Court.
14. Pursuant to Rule 334.39(1) of the *Federal Courts Rules*, there shall be no costs payable by any party for this motion.

\_\_\_\_\_  
"Mandy Ayles"

Judge

**ANNEX A**



Court File Nos. T-402-19 / T-141-20

**FEDERAL COURT  
PROPOSED CLASS PROCEEDING**

**B E T W E E N:**

**XAVIER MOUSHOOM, JEREMY MEAWASIGE (by his litigation guardian, Jonavon Joseph Meawasige), JONAVON JOSEPH MEAWASIGE**

Plaintiffs

and

**THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF CANADA**

Defendant

**FEDERAL COURT  
PROPOSED CLASS PROCEEDING**

**B E T W E E N:**

**ASSEMBLY OF FIRST NATIONS, ASHLEY DAWN LOUISE BACH, KAREN OSACHOFF, MELISSA WALTERSON, NOAH BUFFALO-JACKSON by his Litigation Guardian, Carolyn Buffalo, CAROLYN BUFFALO, and DICK EUGENE JACKSON also known as RICHARD JACKSON**

Plaintiffs

and

**HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN  
AS REPRESENTED BY THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF CANADA**

Defendant

**FRESH AS AMENDED LITIGATION PLAN**

November 2, 2021

**SOTOS LLP**

180 Dundas Street West  
Suite 1200, Toronto ON M5G 1Z8  
David Sterns [dsterns@sotosllp.com](mailto:dsterns@sotosllp.com)  
Mohsen Seddigh [mseddigh@sotosllp.com](mailto:mseddigh@sotosllp.com)  
Jonathan Schachter [jschachter@sotosllp.com](mailto:jschachter@sotosllp.com)  
Tel: 416-977-0007  
Fax: 416-977-0717

**KUGLER KANDESTIN**

1 Place Ville-Marie  
Suite 1170 Montréal QC H3B 2A7  
Robert Kugler [rkugler@kklex.com](mailto:rkugler@kklex.com)  
Pierre Boivin [pboivin@kklex.com](mailto:pboivin@kklex.com)  
William Colish [wcolish@kklex.com](mailto:wcolish@kklex.com)  
Tel: 514-878-2861  
Fax: 514-875-8424

**MILLER TITERLE + CO.**

300 - 638 Smithe Street  
Vancouver BC V6B 1E3  
Joelle Walker [joelle@millertiterle.com](mailto:joelle@millertiterle.com)  
Tamara Napoleon [tamara@millertiterle.com](mailto:tamara@millertiterle.com)  
Erin Reimer [erin@millertiterle.com](mailto:erin@millertiterle.com)  
Tel: 604-681-4112  
Fax: 604-681-4113

Lawyers for the plaintiffs Xavier Moushoom, Jeremy Meawasige  
(by his litigation guardian, Jonavon Joseph Meawasige), Jonavon  
Joseph Meawasige

**NAHWEGAHBOW, CORBIERE**

5884 Rama Road, Suite 109  
Rama, ON L3V 6H6  
  
Dianne G. Corbiere [dgcorbiere@nncfirm.ca](mailto:dgcorbiere@nncfirm.ca)  
  
Tel: 705.325.0520  
Fax: 705.325.7204

**FASKEN MARTINEAU DUMOULIN**

55 Metcalfe St., Suite 1300  
Ottawa, ON K1P 6L5  
  
Peter N. Mantas [pmantas@fasken.com](mailto:pmantas@fasken.com)  
  
Tel: 613.236.3882  
Fax: 613.230.6423

Lawyers for the plaintiffs Assembly of First Nations, Ashley Dawn  
Louise Bach, Karen Osachoff, Melissa Walterson, Noah Buffalo-  
Jackson by his Litigation Guardian, Carolyn Buffalo, Carolyn  
Buffalo, and Dick Eugene Jackson also known as Richard Jackson

## Table of Contents

<b>I. DEFINITIONS .....</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>II. OVERVIEW .....</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>III. PRE-CERTIFICATION PROCESS.....</b>	<b>8</b>
A. The Parties .....	8
B. The Pleadings.....	8
C. Pre-Certification Communication Strategy.....	8
D. Settlement Conference .....	10
E. Timetable .....	10
<b>IV. POST-CERTIFICATION PROCESS .....</b>	<b>10</b>
A. Timetable .....	10
B. Certification Notice, Notice Program and Opt Out Procedures .....	11
C. Identifying and Communicating with Class Members .....	14
D. Documentary Production .....	15
E. Examinations for Discovery .....	16
F. Interlocutory Matters .....	16
G. Expert Evidence.....	17
H. Determination of the Common Issues.....	17
<b>V. POST COMMON ISSUES DECISION PROCESS.....</b>	<b>18</b>
A. Timetable .....	18
B. Common Issues Notice .....	18
C. Claim Forms .....	19
D. Determining and Categorizing Class Membership .....	20
E. Aggregate Damages Distribution Process.....	23
F. Individual Damage Assessment Process.....	25
G. Class Proceeding Funding and Fees.....	26
H. Settlement Issues.....	26
I. Review of the Litigation Plan .....	27

## I. DEFINITIONS

1. The definitions below will be used throughout this Litigation Plan. Any term defined in the Consolidated Statement of Claim that is also used in this Litigation Plan has the same meaning as that included in the Consolidated Statement of Claim or as otherwise defined by the Court.

**Aggregate Damages Distribution Process** means the system directed by the Court for the **Class Action Administrator** to distribute aggregate damages to **Approved Class Members**;

**Approved Class Member(s)** means **Approved Removed Child Class Member(s)** and/or **Approved Jordan's Class Member(s)** and/or **Approved Family Class Members**;

**Approved Family Class Member(s)** means a Family Class Member who has been approved by the **Class Action Administrator** as meeting the criteria for being a Family Class Member, including the brother, sister, mother, father, grandmother or grandfather of an Approved Removed Child Class Member (regardless of whether the Approved Removed Child Class Member is alive) and whose approval as a Family Class Member has not been successfully challenged;

**Approved Jordan's Class Member(s)** means a Jordan's Class Member who has been approved by the **Class Action Administrator** as meeting the criteria for being a Jordan's Class Member and whose approval as a Jordan's Class Member has not been successfully challenged;

**Approved Removed Child Class Member(s)** means a Removed Child Class Member who has been approved by the **Class Action Administrator** as meeting the criteria for being a Removed Child Class Member and whose approval as a Removed Child Class Member has not been successfully challenged;

**Certification Notice** means the information set out in Schedule A to this Litigation Plan, as may be subsequently amended and as approved by the Court;

**CHRT Decision** means the decision of the **CHRT** in the **CHRT Proceeding** dated January 26, 2016, bearing citation 2016 CHRT 2;

**CHRT** means the Canadian Human Rights Tribunal;

**CHRT Proceeding** means the proceeding before the **CHRT** under file number T1340/7008;

**Claim Form** means the form set out in Schedule C to this Litigation Plan used by the Removed Child Class Members and/or the Jordan's Class Members and/or the Family Class Members to submit a claim, as may be subsequently amended and as approved by the Court;

**Class Action Administrator** means any settlement administrator or other appropriate firm appointed by the Court to assist in the administration of the class proceeding;

**Class Counsel** means the consortium of law firms acting as co-counsel in this class proceeding, with the firms of Sotos LLP, Kugler Kandestin LLP, Miller Titerle + Company, Nahwegahbow, Corbiere and Faskens LLP as Solicitors of Record;

**Class Member(s)** means an individual who falls within the definition of the Removed Child Class and/or the Jordan's Class and/or the Family Class, as pleaded in the Consolidated Statement of Claim and as approved by the Court;

**Common Issues** means the issues listed in the Notice of Motion for Certification, or as found by the Court, as may be subsequently amended and as approved by the Court;

**Common Issues Notice** means the information set out in the notice regarding the **Common Issues** to be certified by the Court at Certification, as may be subsequently amended and as approved by the Court;

**Crown Class Member Information** means information to be provided by the Crown, at the request of the plaintiffs and/or as ordered by the Court, to the **Class Action Administrator** and/or **Class Counsel** regarding the names and last known contact information of all individuals who meet the criteria of Class Members as set out in the Consolidated Statement of Claim or as otherwise defined by the Court, including: (a) a list of all known Class Members' names and last known addresses using the information in the Crown's possession or under its control<sup>1</sup> as well as all individuals who received a product or service pursuant to Jordan's Principle following the CHRT Decision (estimated by the Crown in its representations to the CHRT to be individuals having received over 165,000 services under Jordan's Principle as of October 2018).

**Individual Damage Assessment Form** means the form set out in Schedule D to this Litigation Plan, as may be subsequently amended and as approved by the Court, to be used by **Approved Class Member(s)** to elect an individual assessment of their damages and commence an individual damage assessment under the **Individual Damage Assessment Process**;

**Individual Damage Assessment Process** means the procedure and system to be approved by the Court following the **Common Issues** trial to be used to assess and distribute damages to **Approved Class Member(s)** who have requested an individual damage assessment by submitting an **Individual Damage Assessment Form**;

**Notice Program** means the process, set out in the Litigation Plan, for communicating the **Certification Notice** and/or the **Common Issues Notice** to **Class Members**, as may be subsequently amended and as approved by the Court;

---

<sup>1</sup> Where Class Members are known to be represented by counsel, only their name should be provided along with their counsel's name and address.

**Opt Out Form** means the form set out in Schedule B to this Litigation Plan used by Class Members to opt out of the class proceeding, as may be subsequently amended and as approved by the Court;

**Opt Out Period** means the deadline, proposed by the plaintiffs as six months from the date on which notice of certification to the Class is published in the manner to be specified by the Court or as otherwise determined by the Court, to opt out of the class proceeding;

**Opt Out Procedures** means the procedures, set out in the Litigation Plan, for Class Members to opt out of this class proceeding, as may be subsequently amended and as approved by the Court; and

**Special Opt Out Procedures** means the procedures, set out in the Litigation Plan, for Class Members who have already commenced a civil proceeding in Canada or who are known by the Crown to have already retained legal counsel to opt out of this class proceeding, as may be subsequently amended and as approved by the Court.

## II. OVERVIEW

2. The plaintiffs have commenced this action on behalf of First Nations individuals who allege that the Crown has engaged in the discriminatory underfunding of child and family services and breached the equality obligations underlying Jordan's Principle. The class action advances the rights of tens of thousands of First Nations children, former children and family members.

3. This Litigation Plan is advanced as a workable method of advancing the proceeding on behalf of the Class and of notifying Class Members as to how the class proceeding is progressing, pursuant to rule 334.16(1)(e)(ii) of the *Federal Court Rules*. The Litigation Plan is modelled on the class action relating to the Indian Residential Schools.<sup>2</sup>

4. This Litigation Plan sets out a detailed plan for the common stages of this litigation, and sets out, on a without prejudice basis, an early plan for how the individual stage of the action may progress. Given the early stage of the litigation, the plan is necessarily subject to substantial revisions as the case progresses.

5. The plaintiffs are mindful that the CHRT has awarded statutory compensation to a subset of the Class Members pursuant to the CHRA (*First Nations Child & Family Caring Society of Canada et al. v. Attorney General of Canada (representing the Minister of Indigenous and Northern Affairs Canada)*, 2019 CHRT 39). If CHRT compensation is paid to any Class Members, the plaintiffs will seek a determination from the Court as to whether the Crown is entitled to a set-off or deduction of damages in this action for such amounts.

---

<sup>2</sup> See *Baxter v Canada (Attorney General)*, 2006 CanLII 41673 (Ont Sup Ct), and subsequent orders of the Court. See also information available on the website of the Indian Residential Schools Adjudication Secretariat, online <<http://www.iap-pei.ca/home-eng.php>>.

### **III. PRE-CERTIFICATION PROCESS**

#### **A. The Parties**

##### *i. The Plaintiffs*

6. The plaintiffs have proposed three classes:
  - (a) the Removed Child Class, represented by Xavier Moushoom, Ashley Dawn Louise Bach, and Karen Osachoff;
  - (b) the Family Class, represented by Xavier Moushoom, Jonavon Joseph Meawasige, Melissa Walterson, Carolyn Buffalo, and Dick Eugene Jackson also known as Richard Jackson; and
  - (c) the Jordan's Class, represented by Jeremy Meawasige, by his litigation guardian, Jonavon Joseph Meawasige; and Noah Buffalo-Jackson, by his litigation guardian, Carolyn Buffalo.

##### *ii. The Defendant*

7. The defendant is the Crown.

#### **B. The Pleadings**

##### *i. Consolidated Statement of Claim*

8. The plaintiffs have delivered a Consolidated Statement of Claim issued with leave of the Honourable Justice St-Louis dated July 7, 2021.

##### *ii. Statement of Defence*

9. The Crown has not delivered a Statement of Defence.

##### *iii. Third Party Claim*

10. The Crown has not issued any Third Party Claim.

#### **C. Pre-Certification Communication Strategy**

##### *i. Responding to Inquiries from Putative Class Members*

11. Both before and since the commencement of this class proceeding, Class Counsel have received many communications from Class Members affected by this class proceeding.



12. With respect to each inquiry, the individual's name, address, email and telephone number is added to a confidential database. Class Members are asked to register on the websites of Class Counsel. Once registered, they receive regular updates on the progress of the class proceeding in French and English. Any individual Class Members who contact Class Counsel are responded to in their preferred language.

*ii. Pre-Certification Status Reports*

13. In addition to responding to individual inquiries, Class Counsel have created a webpage concerning the class proceeding in English and French (see: <https://sotosclassactions.com/cases/current-cases/first-nations-youth/>). The most current information on the status of the class proceeding is posted and is updated regularly in English and French.

14. Copies of the publicly filed court documents and court decisions are accessible from the webpage. In addition, phone numbers for Class Counsel in Quebec and Ontario as well as email contact information are provided.

15. Class Counsel send update reports to Class Members who have provided their contact information and have indicated an interest in being notified of further developments in the class proceeding.

*iii. Pre-certification outreach*

16. Class Counsel have presented the proposed class action to a council of First Nations social services delivery personnel for the Province of Québec and the region of Labrador, as well as the First Nations youth directors forum in British Columbia. Class Counsel are in the process of arranging similar presentations to affected communities in Québec and elsewhere in Canada.

#### **D. Settlement Conference**

##### *i. Pre-Certification Settlement Conference*

17. The plaintiffs have participated in a pre-Certification mediation to determine whether any or all of the issues arising in the class proceeding can be resolved. Mediation is ongoing and may require that some of the targeted timelines in this Litigation Plan be amended on agreement of the parties or as otherwise ordered by the Court to allow negotiations to advance.

#### **E. Timetable**

### **IV. POST-CERTIFICATION PROCESS**

#### **A. Timetable**

##### *i. Plaintiffs' Timetable for the Post-Certification Process*

18. The plaintiffs intend to proceed to trial on an expedited basis or a hybrid summary judgment/*viva voce* trial. It is anticipated that all of the documentary evidence produced by the Crown in the CHRT Proceeding will be relevant and producible in this class proceeding. Because of the extensive documentary production in the CHRT Proceeding, the plaintiffs expect few, if any, disputes as to documentary productions in this case relating to the time period covered by the CHRT Proceeding (*i.e.*, 2006-present). Furthermore, in light of the extensive testimony given at the CHRT Proceeding, it is anticipated that oral discovery can proceed quickly after certification and can be completed in a limited period of time. The plaintiffs have less clarity at this time regarding productions pertaining to the 1991-2006 period.

19. The plaintiffs propose that the following post-Certification process timetable, as explained in detail below:

Certification Notice to Class Members commences	at a date to be determined by the Court after certification
Exchange Affidavits of Documents within	90 days after Certification Notice to Class Members

Motions for Production of Documents, Multiple Examinations of Crown representatives or for Examinations of Non-Parties to be conducted within	120 days after Certification Notice to Class Members
Examinations for Discovery to be conducted within	150 days after Certification Notice to Class Members
Certification Notice to Class Members completed within	60 days from a date to be determined by the Court
Trial Management Conference re: Expert Evidence	180 days after Certification Notice to Class Members
Motions arising from Examinations for Discovery within	180 days after Certification Notice to Class Members
Undertakings answered within	200 days after Certification Notice to Class Members
Further Examinations, if necessary, within	240 days after Certification Notice to Class Members
Common Issues Pre-Trial to be conducted	290 days after Certification Notice to Class Members
Opt Out Period deadline	Six months after Notice of Certification to Class Members
Common Issues Trial or Hybrid Trial to be conducted within	330 days after Certification Notice to Class Members

## **B. Certification Notice, Notice Program and Opt Out Procedures**

### *i. Certification Notice*

20. The Certification Notice and all other notices to Class Members provided by the plaintiffs will, once finalized and approved by the Court, be translated into French. The plaintiffs will explore whether it will be necessary to translate the Certification Notice and/or other notices into some First Nations languages, subject to Court approval.

21. The Certification Notice will, subject to further amendments, be in the form set out in Schedule A hereto.

*ii. Notice Program*

22. The plaintiffs propose to communicate the Certification Notice to Class Members through the following Notice Program.

23. The plaintiffs will provide Certification Notice to Class Members by arranging to have the Certification Notice (and its translated versions whenever possible) communicated/published in the following media starting on a date to be determined by the Court, as frequently as may be reasonable or as directed by the Court under rule 334.32 of the *Federal Courts Rules*. In particular, the plaintiffs propose the following means of providing Certification Notice:

- (a) A press release on the start date of notice of certification to the Class to be determined by order of the Court;
- (b) Direct communication with Class Members:
  - (i) by email or regular mail to the last known contact information of Class Members provided by the Crown (*i.e.*, Crown Class Member Information);
  - (ii) by email or regular mail to all Class Members who have provided their contact information to Class Counsel, including through the Class Proceeding's webpage;
  - (iii) by regular mail to the last known addresses of all Status Card holders in Canada born on or after April 1, 1991;
- (c) Distribution by the Assembly of First Nations to its membership of First Nations bands across Canada;

- (d) Email to First Nations children's aid societies across Canada;
- (e) Circulation through the following media:
  - (i) Aboriginal newspapers/publications such as First Nations Drum, The Windspeaker, Mi'kmaq Maliseet Nations News, APTN National News;
  - (ii) radio outlets, such as Aboriginal radio CFWE, CBC national and CBC regional;
  - (iii) television outlets, such as on The Aboriginal Peoples Television Network; and / or
  - (iv) social media outlets, such as Facebook and Instagram.

***iii. Opt Out Procedures***

24. The plaintiffs propose Opt Out Procedures for Class Members who do not wish to participate in the class proceeding.

25. The Certification Notice will include information about how to Opt Out of the class proceeding and will provide information about how to obtain and submit the appropriate Opt Out Forms to the Class Action Administrator and/or Class Counsel.

26. There will be one standard Opt Out Form for all Class Members.

27. Class Members will be required to file the Opt Out Form with the Class Action Administrator and/or Class Counsel within the Opt Out Period.

28. The Class Action Administrator or Class Counsel shall, within 30 days after the expiration of the Opt Out Period, deliver to the Court and the Parties an affidavit listing the names of all persons who have opted out of the Class Action.

*iv. Special Opt Out Procedures*

29. The plaintiffs propose Special Opt Out Procedures for Class Members who are either named party plaintiffs in a civil proceeding in Canada or who are known by the Crown to have retained legal counsel in respect of the subject matter of this action with the express purpose of starting a separate action against the Crown.

30. Ongoing civil actions by Class Members who do not opt out of the Class Action should be dealt with in a manner to be determined by this Court or by the Court in which such proceedings are brought.

**C. Identifying and Communicating with Class Members**

*i. Identifying Class Members*

31. As stated above, the plaintiffs intend to request the Crown Class Member Information.

*ii. Database of Class Members*

32. Class Counsel will maintain a confidential database of all Class Members who contact Class Counsel. The database will include each individual's name, address, telephone number, and/or email address where available.

*iii. Responding to Inquiries from Class Members*

33. Class Counsel and their staff respond to each inquiry by Class Members.

34. Class Counsel have a system in place to allow for responses to inquiries by Class Members in their language of choice whenever possible.

*iv. Post Certification Status Reports*

35. In addition to responding to individual inquiries, Class Counsel will continually update the webpage dedicated to this class action with information concerning the status of the class proceeding.

36. Class Counsel will send update reports to Class Members who have provided their contact information. These update reports will be sent as necessary or as directed by the Court.

**D. Documentary Production**

*i. Affidavit/List of Documents*

37. The plaintiffs will be required to deliver an Affidavit of Documents within 90 days after notice of certification is given to Class Members. The Crown will similarly be required to deliver a List of Documents within 90 days after notice of certification is given to Class Members.

38. The Parties are expected to serve Supplementary Affidavits (or Lists) of Documents as additional relevant documents are located.

*ii. Production of Documents*

39. All Parties are expected to provide, at their own expense, electronic copies of all Schedule "A" productions at the time of delivering their Affidavit of Documents. All productions are to be made in electronic format.

40. Documentary productions are to include, but not be limited to, all documents produced and exhibits tendered in the CHRT Proceedings.

*iii. Motions for Documentary Production*

41. Any motions for documentary production shall be made within 120 days after certification notice is given to Class Members.

*iv. Document Management*

42. The Parties will each manage their productions with a compatible document management system, or as directed by the Court. All documents are to be produced in OCR format.

43. All productions should be numbered and scanned electronically to enable quick access and efficient organization of documents.

**E. Examinations for Discovery**

44. Examinations for Discovery will take place within 150 days after certification notice is given to Class Members.

45. The plaintiffs expect to request the Crown's consent to examine more than one Crown representative. In the event that a dispute arises in this regard, the plaintiffs propose to bring a motion within 120 days after certification notice is given to Class Members.

46. The plaintiffs anticipate that the Examination for Discovery of a properly selected and informed officer of the Crown will take approximately 10 days, subject to refusals and undertakings.

47. The plaintiffs anticipate that the Examination for Discovery of the representative plaintiffs will take approximately one day, subject to refusals and undertakings.

**F. Interlocutory Matters**

*i. Motions for Refusals and Undertakings*

48. Specific dates for motions for refusals and undertakings that arise from the Examinations for Discovery will be requested upon Certification. Motions for refusals and undertakings will be heard within 180 days after certification notice is given to Class Members.



*ii. Undertakings*

49. Undertakings are to be answered within 200 days after certification notice is given to Class Members.

*iii. Re-attendances and Further Examinations for Discovery*

50. Any re-attendances or further Examinations for Discovery required as a result of answers to undertakings or as a result of the outcome of the motions for refusals and undertakings should be completed within 240 days after certification notice is given to Class Members.

**G. Expert Evidence**

*i. Identifying Experts and Issues*

51. A Trial Management Conference will take place following Examinations for Discovery at which guidelines for identifying experts and their proposed evidence at trial will be determined.

**H. Determination of the Common Issues**

*i. Pre-Trial of the Common Issues*

52. Upon Certification, the Court will be asked to assign a date for a Pre-Trial relating to the Common Issues trial.

53. The plaintiffs expect that a full day will be required for a Pre-Trial and will request that the Pre-Trial be held within 290 days after certification notice is given to Class Members and, in any event, at least 90 days before the date of the Common Issues trial.

*ii. Trial of the Common Issues*

54. Upon Certification, the Court will be asked to assign a date for the Common Issues trial.

55. The plaintiffs propose that the trial of the Common Issues be held 330 days after certification notice is given to Class Members.

56. The length of time required for the Common Issues trial will depend on many factors and will be determined at the Trial Management Conference.

## V. POST COMMON ISSUES DECISION PROCESS

### A. Timetable

#### i. *Plaintiffs' Timetable for the Post-Common Issues Decision Process*

57. The plaintiffs propose that the following timetable be imposed by the Court following the Court's judgment on the Common Issues:

Common Issues Notice provided	Within 90 days of Common Issues decision
Individual Issue Hearings, if any, begin	120 days after decision
Individual Damage Assessments, if any, begin	240 days after decision
Deadline to Submit Claim Forms (as of right)	Within 1 year of decision
Deadline to Submit Claim Forms (as of right in prescribed circumstances or with leave of the Court)	1 year after decision

### B. Common Issues Notice

#### i. *Notifying Class Members*

58. The Common Issues Notice will, subject to further amendments, be substantially in the form approved by the Court at the Common Issues trial. The Common Issues Notice may contain, amongst others, information on any aggregate damages awarded and any issues requiring individual determination, as approved by the Court.

59. The plaintiffs propose to circulate the Common Issues Notice within 90 days after the Common Issues judgment.

60. The Common Issues Notice will be circulated in the same manner as set out above dealing with the Certification Notice or as directed by the Court.

### **C. Claim Forms**

#### *i. Use of Claim Forms*

61. The Court will be asked to approve under rule 334.37 the use of standardized Claim Forms by Class Members who may be entitled to a portion of the aggregate damage award or who may be entitled to have an individual assessment.

#### *ii. Obtaining and Filing Claim Forms*

62. The procedure for obtaining and filing Claim Forms will be set out in the Common Issues Notice.

63. The plaintiffs propose to use a single standard Claim Form, substantially in the form attached as Schedule C, for all three classes, subject to further amendments and as approved by the Court.

64. The plaintiffs propose that counselling be made available to Class Members in need of support and assistance when completing the Claim Forms. Where necessary, a process for appointing a guardian or trustee to assist the Class Members will be developed.

65. Before completing a Claim Form, Class Members will be able to review information about them in the possession of Canada relevant to their claim (the Crown Class Member Information). That information may include:

- (a) any records relating to the Class Member's voluntary or involuntary placement in out-of-home care during the Class Period;
- (b) any records relating to a need by the Class Member for a service or product;
- (c) any records relating to a request made by the Class Member for a service or product;
- (d) any records relating to the denial of a service or product to the Class Member;

- (e) any records relating to any service(s) or product(s) provided by the Crown to the Class Member; and/or
- (f) any records relating to the family status or family relationship between a Family Class Member and a Removed Child Class Member or a Jordan's Class Member.

66. Class Members will be required to file the appropriate Claim Form with the Class Action Administrator and/or Class Counsel within the deadlines set out below or as directed by the Court.

67. The Class Action Administrator will be responsible for receiving all Claim Forms.

**iii. *Deadline for Filing Claim Forms***

68. Class Members will be advised of the deadline for filing Claim Forms in the Common Issues Notice.

69. The plaintiffs propose that Class Members be given one year, or such period as set out by the Court, after the Common Issues judgment to file Claim Forms as of right.

70. The plaintiffs propose that Class Members be entitled to file Claim Forms more than one year after the Court's judgment on the Common Issues in certain circumstances prescribed by the Court (*i.e.*, lack of awareness of entitlement, etc.) or with leave of the Court (*i.e.*, based on mental or physical health issues, etc.).

**D. Determining and Categorizing Class Membership**

**i. *Approving Removed Child Class Members***

71. The Class Action Administrator will determine whether an individual submitting a Claim Form as a Removed Child Class Member properly qualifies as a Class Member.

72. In addition, the Class Action Administrator will determine and categorize the duration of the Removed Child Class Member's presence in out-of-home care. The Class Action Administrator will also determine the number of out-of-home care locations that the Removed

Child Class Member was placed in, as well as whether such locations were on or off Reserve and whether such locations were within the community of the Class Member.

73. The Class Action Administrator will make these determinations by referring to the information set out in the Claim Form as well as the Crown Class Member Information.

74. The Class Action Administrator will, where appropriate and necessary, request in writing further information from the individual filing the Removed Child Class Claim Form or the Crown to make these determinations.

*ii. Approving Jordan's Class Members*

75. The Class Action Administrator will determine whether an individual submitting a Claim Form as a Jordan's Class Member properly qualifies as a Class Member.

76. The Class Action Administrator will make these determinations following guidelines determined by the Court at the Common Issues trial in part by referring to the information set out in the Claim Form. Such guidelines may include: (a) whether the Class Member needed a service or product at any point during the Class Period; (b) whether the Class Member was denied that service or product; (c) whether the Class Member's receipt of a service or product was delayed or disrupted; (d) whether such denial, delay or disruption was based on lack of funding, lack of jurisdiction or a jurisdictional dispute between governments or government departments; and/or (e) whether such denial, disruption or delay happened while the Class Member was under the applicable provincial/territorial age of majority.

77. The Class Action Administrator will also make these determinations in part by referring to the Crown Class Member Information regarding the number of Class Members who have received a service or product under Jordan's Principle since the CHRT Decision.

78. The Class Action Administrator will, where appropriate and necessary, request in writing further information from the individual submitting the Jordan's Class Claim Form or the Crown to make these determinations.

***iii. Approving Family Class Members***

79. The Class Action Administrator will determine whether an individual submitting a Family Class Claim Form properly qualifies as a Family Class Member.

80. These determinations will be made by the Class Action Administrator by referring to Crown Class Member Information and the information set out in the Claim Form with respect to the relationship of the proposed Family Class Member with an Approved Removed Child Class Member.

81. The Class Action Administrator will, where appropriate and necessary, request in writing further information from the individual filing the Claim Form to make these determinations.

***iv. Deceased Class Members***

82. The estate of a deceased Class Member may submit a Claim Form if the deceased Class Member died on or after April 1, 1991.

83. If the deceased Class Member would otherwise have qualified as an Approved Class Member, the estate will be entitled to be compensated in accordance with the Aggregate Damages Distribution Process. The estate will not have the option to proceed under the Individual Damage Assessment Process except with leave of the Court.

***v. Notifying Class Members, Challenging and Recording Decisions***

84. Within 30 days of receipt of a Claim Form, the Class Action Administrator will notify the individual of its decision on whether the individual is an Approved Class Member. Individuals

who are not approved as Class Members will be provided with information on the procedures to follow to challenge the decision of the Class Action Administrator. The plaintiffs propose that these procedures include an opportunity to resubmit an amended Claim Form with supporting documentation capable of verifying that the individual is a Class Member.

85. All interested parties will be provided with the ability to appeal a decision by the Class Action Administrator to the Court or in a manner to be prescribed. Class Counsel may challenge the decision on behalf of affected individuals.

86. The Class Action Administrator will keep records of all Approved Class Members and their respective Claim Forms and will provide this information to Class Counsel, the Crown and other interested parties on a monthly basis. Class Counsel and/or other interested parties will have 30 days after receiving this information to challenge the Class Action Administrator's decision by advising the Class Action Administrator and the other affected parties in writing of the basis for their challenge. The responding party will be given 30 days thereafter to respond in writing to the challenge at which time the Class Action Administrator will reconsider its decision and advise all parties.

#### **E. Aggregate Damages Distribution Process**

##### ***i. Distribution of Aggregate Damages***

87. The Class Action Administrator will distribute the aggregate damages to all Approved Class Members in the manner directed by the Court.

88. The plaintiffs will propose that Approved Class Members be entitled to a proportion of the aggregate damages as determined by the Class Action Administrator based on factors to be approved by the Court, including but not limited to: (a) the duration of the Class Member's

presence in out-of-home care; (b) the number of out-of-home care locations where the Class Member was placed as a child; (c) the duration of deprivation from a service or product as a result of a delay, denial or disruption contrary to Jordan's Principle; and (d) the family relationship of the Family Class Member to a given Removed Child Class Member.

89. The Class Action Administrator, upon advising Approved Class Members of its decision on their membership as set out above, will within a reasonable period of time to be determined by the Court, advise the Approved Class Members of the proportion of aggregate damages owing to each Approved Class Member under the Aggregate Damages Distribution Process to be approved by the Court.

90. In addition, if applicable, the Class Action Administrator will provide Approved Class Members with a package of materials including: information on how to collect their aggregate damage awards, information on Class Members' ability to proceed through the Individual Damage Assessment Process, copies of the Individual Damage Assessment Form along with a guide on how to complete the form, and contact information for obtaining independent legal advice and counselling. Such information is to be provided in a culturally responsive and appropriate style, making full use of interactive media, including video tutorials.

***ii. Seeking an Individual Damage Assessment***

91. Approved Class Members, when notified of their entitlement to aggregate damages, may be given information on their right to have their compensation individually assessed under the Individual Damage Assessment Process set out below.



**F. Individual Damage Assessment Process*****i. Individual Damage Assessment Forms***

92. When Approved Class Members are notified of their aggregate damage entitlement and information on their right to proceed under the Individual Damage Assessment Process, they will be provided with an Individual Damage Assessment Form as set out in Schedule D.

93. If applicable, the plaintiffs propose that a request for individual damages be made by sending an Individual Damage Assessment Form to the Class Action Administrator, and that only those individuals who wish to proceed through the Individual Damage Assessment Process be required to submit Individual Damage Assessment Forms.

***ii. Individual Damage Assessments***

94. The Court may be asked to approve the use of an Individual Damage Assessment Process after a judgment on the Common Issues or otherwise as directed by the Court.

95. The Individual Damage Assessment Process would be available to all Approved Class Members except those who are found by the Court not to be entitled to individual damages following the Common Issues trial.

***iii. Individual Issue Hearings***

96. The Court will be asked to provide directions, or to appoint persons to conduct references under rule 334.26 of the *Federal Courts Rules* or appoint a judge to conduct test cases involving selected Approved Class Members who are proceeding under the Individual Damage Assessment Process to assist with the matters that may or may not remain in issue after the determination of the Common Issues, such as:

- (a) Hearing rules for individual assessments;
- (b) A compensation matrix for individual damages;

- (c) Assistance in resolving disputes relating to the definitions of key terms such as “cultural and language loss”, “pain and suffering”, “physical abuse”, and “sexual abuse”; and
- (d) Other matters raised by the Court or the parties during the Common Issues litigation.

#### **G. Class Proceeding Funding and Fees**

##### *i. Plaintiffs’ Legal Fees*

97. The plaintiffs’ fees are to be paid on a contingency basis, subject to the Court’s approval under rule 334.4 of the *Federal Courts Rules*.

98. The agreement between the representative plaintiffs and Class Counsel states that legal fees and disbursements to be paid to Class Counsel shall be on the following basis:

- (a) Aggregate damages recovery: 20% of the first two hundred million dollars (\$200,000,000) in recovery by settlement or judgment, plus 10% of any amounts recovered by settlement or judgment beyond the first two hundred million dollars; and
- (b) Individual damages recovery: 25% of settlement or judgment.

##### *ii. Funding of Disbursements*

99. Funding of legal disbursements for the representative plaintiffs has been, and will continue to be, available through Class Counsel, unless the plaintiffs and Class Counsel subsequently deem it to be in the best interests of the Class to obtain third-party funding. Class Counsel will advise the Court of such third-party funding and seek approval thereof.

#### **H. Settlement Issues**

##### *i. Settlement Offers and Negotiations*

100. The plaintiffs have been conducting settlement negotiations with the Crown with a view to achieving a fair and timely resolution.

*ii. Mediation and Other Non Binding Dispute Resolution Mechanisms*

101. The plaintiffs have been participating in mediation and negotiations in an effort to try to resolve the dispute or narrow the issues in dispute between the Parties.

**I. Review of the Litigation Plan**

*i. Flexibility of the Litigation Plan*

102. This Litigation Plan will be reconsidered on an ongoing basis and may be revised under the continued case management authority of the Court before or after the determination of the Common Issues or as the Court sees fit.

October 29, 2021	<p><b>SOTOS LLP</b> 180 Dundas Street West Suite 1200 Toronto ON M5G 1Z8</p> <p>David Sterns (LSO# 36274J) <a href="mailto:dsterns@sotosllp.com">dsterns@sotosllp.com</a> Mohsen Seddigh (LSO# 70744I) <a href="mailto:mseddigh@sotosllp.com">mseddigh@sotosllp.com</a> Jonathan Schachter (LSO# 63858C) <a href="mailto:jschachter@sotosllp.com">jschachter@sotosllp.com</a> Tel: 416-977-0007 Fax: 416-977-0717</p> <p>Lawyers for the Plaintiffs</p>	<p><b>KUGLER KANDESTIN</b> 1 Place Ville-Marie Suite 1170 Montréal QC H3B 2A7</p> <p>Robert Kugler <a href="mailto:rkugler@kklex.com">rkugler@kklex.com</a> Pierre Boivin <a href="mailto:pboivin@kklex.com">pboivin@kklex.com</a> William Colish <a href="mailto:wcolish@kklex.com">wcolish@kklex.com</a> Tel: 514-878-2861 Fax: 514-875-8424</p>	<p><b>MILLER TITERLE + CO.</b> 300 - 638 Smithe Street Vancouver BC V6B 1E3 Joelle Walker <a href="mailto:joelle@millertiterle.com">joelle@millertiterle.com</a> Tamara Napoleon <a href="mailto:tamara@millertiterle.com">tamara@millertiterle.com</a> Erin Reimer <a href="mailto:erin@millertiterle.com">erin@millertiterle.com</a> Tel: 604-681-4112 Fax: 604-681-4113</p>
			<p>Lawyers for the plaintiffs Xavier Moushoom, Jeremy Meawasige (by his litigation guardian, Jonavon Joseph Meawasige), Jonavon Joseph Meawasige</p>

**NAHWEGAHBOW,  
CORBIERE**  
5884 Rama Road, Suite 109  
Rama, ON L3V 6H6

Dianne G. Corbiere  
[dgcorbiere@nncfirm.ca](mailto:dgcorbiere@nncfirm.ca)

Tel: 705.325.0520  
Fax: 705.325.7204

**FASKEN MARTINEAU  
DUMOULIN**  
55 Metcalfe St., Suite 1300  
Ottawa, ON K1P 6L5

Peter N. Mantas  
[pmantas@fasken.com](mailto:pmantas@fasken.com)

Tel: 613.236.3882  
Fax: 613.230.6423

Lawyers for the plaintiffs Assembly of First Nations, Ashley Dawn Louise Bach, Karen Osachoff, Melissa Walterson, Noah Buffalo-Jackson by his Litigation Guardian, Carolyn Buffalo, Carolyn Buffalo, and Dick Eugene Jackson also known as Richard Jackson

## **SCHEDULE "A"**

**FIRST NATIONS YOUTH CARE (THE MILLENNIUM SCOOP) CLASS ACTION**  
**PROPOSED NOTICE OF CERTIFICATION**

**THIS NOTICE MAY AFFECT YOUR RIGHTS. PLEASE READ CAREFULLY.**

**The Nature of the Lawsuit**

In March 2019, Sotos LLP, Kugler Kandestin LLP and Miller Titerle + Co. (collectively "Class Counsel") commenced an action on behalf of First Nations plaintiffs in the Federal Court of Canada in Montreal, against the Attorney General of Canada (the "Crown").

The lawsuit claims that starting in 1991 the Crown instituted discriminatory funding policies across Canada that led to First Nations children being removed from their homes and communities and placed in out-of-home care. The lawsuit also claims that the Crown delayed, disrupted or denied the delivery of needed public services and products to First Nations youth contrary to Jordan's Principle.

The action was brought on behalf of a Class of:

(a) all First Nations youths who were taken into out-of-home care since April 1, 1991, while they or at least one of their parents were ordinarily resident on a Reserve;

(b) all First Nations youths who were denied a public service or product, or whose receipt of a public service or product was delayed or disrupted, on the grounds of lack of funding or lack of jurisdiction, or as a result of a jurisdictional dispute with another government or governmental department (contrary to Jordan's Principle);

(c) family members of the Class Members cited in (a) above.

By order dated [INSERT DATE], The Honourable Justice St-Louis certified the action as a class proceeding, appointing Xavier Moushoom and Jeremy Meawasige (by his

litigation guardian, Maurina Beadle) as representative plaintiffs for the class.

The Court found that the following issues affecting the Class will be tried at a Common Issues trial:

- o [INSERT CERTIFIED COMMON ISSUE]
- o ...

**Participation in the Class Action**

If you fall within the class definition, you are automatically included as a member of the Class, unless you choose to opt out of the Class Action, as explained below. All members of the Class will be bound by the judgment of the Court, or any settlement reached by the parties and approved by the Court.

At this juncture, the Court has not taken a position as to the likelihood of recovery for the representative plaintiffs or the Class, or with respect to the merits of the claims or defences asserted by the Crown.

**Fees and Disbursements**

You do not need to pay any legal fees out of your own pocket. A retainer agreement has been entered into between the representative plaintiffs and Class Counsel with respect to legal fees. The agreement provides that the law firms have been retained on a contingency fee basis, which means they will only be paid their fees in the event of a successful result in the litigation or a Court-approved settlement.

You will not be responsible for Defendant's legal costs if the class action is unsuccessful. Any fee paid to lawyers for the Class is subject to the Court's approval.

**Opt Out**

If you are a class member and wish to exclude yourself from this class proceeding (“opt out”), you must complete and return the “Class Member Opt Out” form by no later than [INSERT DATE]. The Opt Out form may be downloaded at: [INSERT WEBSITE ADDRESS].

Class members who choose to opt out within the above noted deadline will not recover any monies if the representative plaintiffs are successful in this action. If class members do not choose to opt out by the deadline, they will be bound by any judgment ultimately obtained

in this class action, whether favourable or not, or any settlement if approved by the Court.

**Contact Information**

If you have any questions or concerns about the matters in this Notice or the status of the class action, you may contact Class Counsel in a number of ways.

By phone: [INSERT PHONE NUMBER]

By email: [INSERT EMAIL]

Toll-Free Hotline: [INSERT TELEPHONE]

By mail: [INSERT ADDRESS]

## **SCHEDULE "B"**



**OPT OUT FORM**

**TO:**  
**[CLASS ACTION ADMINISTRATOR TO BE APPOINTED]**  
**[Address]**  
**[Email]**  
**[Fax]**  
**[Phone number]**

**ATTN: [CLASS ACTION ADMINISTRATOR TO BE APPOINTED]**

I do not want to participate in the class action styled as *Xavier Moushoom et al v. The Attorney General of Canada* regarding the claims of discrimination against First Nations children. I understand that by opting out, I will not be eligible for the payment of any amounts awarded or paid in the class action, and if I want an opportunity to be compensated, I will have to make an individual claim and decide whether to engage a lawyer at my own expense.

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
Signature

\_\_\_\_\_  
Full Name

\_\_\_\_\_  
Address

\_\_\_\_\_  
City, Province, Postal Code

\_\_\_\_\_  
Telephone

\_\_\_\_\_  
Email

This Notice must be delivered by regular mail, email or fax on or before \_\_\_\_\_, 201\_ to be effective.

## **SCHEDULE "C"**

**CLAIM FORM**

**TO:**  
**[CLASS ACTION ADMINISTRATOR TO BE APPOINTED]**  
**[Address]**  
**[Email]**  
**[Fax]**  
**[Phone number]**

**ATTN: [CLASS ACTION ADMINISTRATOR TO BE APPOINTED]**

I, \_\_\_\_\_ (insert full name(s), including maiden name if applicable), have received Notice of the National Class Action styled as *Xavier Moushoom et al v. The Attorney General of Canada* regarding the claims of discrimination against First Nations children. My date of birth is \_\_\_\_\_ (insert day, month, year of birth).

I believe that I am a Class Member and I wish to submit a claim as a member of the following Class or Classes (mark the applicable item(s) with an X):

- Removed Child Class
- Jordan's Class
- Family Class

If you selected the Removed Child Class, please summarize below your placement(s) in out-of-home care since April 1, 1991:

Number of foster home(s)	Number of years of placement in foster home(s)	Was foster home(s) on-reserve or off-reserve?	Was foster home(s) within your own First Nations community?

If you selected the Jordan's Class, please summarize below the public services or products that you needed since April 1, 1991, and that were denied, delayed or disrupted:

Product(s) or service(s) needed	Was a request made for the	Was the service(s) or product(s) denied, delayed or disrupted?	The date(s) of need, request, and/or denial,

	<b>service(s) or product(s)?</b>		<b>delay or disruption</b>

If you selected the Family Class, please summarize below your relationship to the member(s) of the Removed Child Class:

<b>Full name(s) and claim number of the Approved Removed Child Class Member in your family</b>	<b>Your relationship to the Class Member (only the brother, sister, mother, father, grandmother or grandfather of an Approved Removed Child Class Member)</b>

My mailing address is:

\_\_\_\_\_

**Street name, Apartment #**

\_\_\_\_\_

**City, Province**

\_\_\_\_\_

**Postal Code**

\_\_\_\_\_

**Telephone Number(s)**

\_\_\_\_\_

**Email address**

Signed: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

## **SCHEDULE "D"**

**INDIVIDUAL DAMAGE ASSESSMENT FORM**

**TO:**  
**[CLASS ACTION ADMINISTRATOR TO BE APPOINTED]**  
**[Address]**  
**[Email]**  
**[Fax]**  
**[Phone number]**

**ATTN: [CLASS ACTION ADMINISTRATOR TO BE APPOINTED]**

I, \_\_\_\_\_ [insert full name(s), including maiden name if applicable], have been notified that I am an Approved Removed Child Class Member or Approved Jordan's Class Member. My claim number is \_\_\_\_\_ [insert assigned claim number].

I have been provided with a package of information outlining and explaining my option to request an individual damage assessment in accordance with the Individual Damage Assessment Process.

I am also aware that I can obtain independent legal advice with respect to this request and can obtain assistance to complete this form at no charge to me by contacting [insert assigned contact #].

Below is information relating to my experience in out-of-home care and the impacts and harms that resulted from my experience:

*[The Individual Damage Assessment Form will be designed after a Court decision on the Common Issues. The goal of the Individual Damage Assessment Form though will be to obtain, amongst others, the following information from Approved Class Members:*

- *Information relating to the Class Member's age at apprehension, the foster households where the Class Member was placed, duration of out-of-home care;*
- *Information relating to any abuse on the Class Member, including each incident of a compensable harm/wrong, such as the dates, places, times of the incidents and information about the alleged perpetrator for each incident;*
- *Information relating to compensable impacts, including cultural and language impacts;*
- *A narrative relating to the experience of the individual while in care;*
- *The reason(s) for apprehension;*
- *Whether expert evidence will be provided to support a claim for certain consequential harms such as past and future income loss;*

- *Information on the treatment records including records of customary or traditional counsellors or healers they will be submitting to assist in proving either the abuse or the harm suffered or both;*
- *Authorizations for the Crown to obtain documents; and*
- *Such further and other information that is deemed necessary and appropriate.]*

**Below is information relating to my experience with the denial/delay/disruption of the receipt of a public service or product and the impacts and harms that resulted from my experience:**

*[The Individual Damage Assessment Form will be designed after a Court decision on the Common Issues. The goal of the Individual Damage Assessment Form though will be to obtain, amongst others, the following information from Approved Class Members:*

- *Any conditions or circumstances that required a public service or product;*
- *Reasons for denial of a public service or product;*
- *Department(s) of contact;*
- *Authorizations for the Crown to obtain documents; and*
- *Such further and other information that is deemed necessary and appropriate.]*

Signed: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_